GIPS® Standards for Firms – Explanation of the Provisions - Errata September 2020

The following item reflects a correction to the Explanation of the Provision in the 2020 edition of the GIPS Standards for Firms.

1. Provision 3.A.4 Discussion

In the second paragraph (shown below) of the Provision 3.A.4 discussion, need to change "must" to "should", as noted below:

If a firm temporarily waives the investment management fee for a portfolio that is normally charged a fee, the portfolio is still considered a fee-paying portfolio (with a fee of zero for that period) and must be included in the appropriate composite. Some firms may manage portfolios that have a minimal investment management fee that is meant to cover operating or transaction costs. This arrangement is common for portfolios that are owned by friends and employees of the firm. If a portfolio has a very small investment management fee that is not representative of the investment management fee that a segregated account would typically pay, the firm must consider such a portfolio as fee-paying for purposes of composite inclusion. However, because the portfolio has only a minimal investment management fee that is not representative of the firm's investment management fee for that strategy, the segregated account must should be included in the percentage of composite assets that is non-fee paying. The percentage of composite assets that is non-fee paying is a required disclosure in GIPS Composite Reports when net-of-fees composite returns are presented and are calculated using actual investment management fees.