

## REQUIREMENTS OUTSIDE THE PROVISIONS FOR FIRMS

**JUNE 2022** 



GIPS° is a registered trademark owned by CFA Institute. © 2022 CFA Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright holder. This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is distributed with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional service. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

## **Requirements Outside the Provisions for Firms**

Firms that claim compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) are required to comply with all applicable requirements of the GIPS standards, including any Guidance Statements, interpretations, and Questions & Answers (Q&As) published by CFA Institute and the GIPS standards governing bodies. This document can be used to assist firms with identifying those requirements found within the Guidance Statements, interpretations, and Q&As, including the GIPS Standards Handbook for Firms, (together, the interpretive guidance that are not addressed directly by a provision. This document does not list every instance where the word "must" or "required" is used in the interpretive guidance. For example, in the explanation of Provision 1.A.11, the GIPS Standards Handbook states:

The GIPS Composite Report must be one that represents the strategy being marketed to the prospective client.

While the word "must" is used, it does not impose a new requirement on a firm beyond what it is already required to do by the provisions. This requirement is therefore not included in this list of requirements outside the provisions.

Contrast this with the explanation of Provision 4.C.11 in the GIPS Standards Handbook, which states:

Pooled fund expense ratios that are calculated for periods of less than one year must be annualized.

Because this requirement is not addressed by a provision, it is included in this list of requirements outside the provisions.

This was a subjective exercise, and we took the view that an additional requirement not directly addressed by a provision is one that would result in a new or updated provision if we were updating the GIPS standards. If this document included every instance of the word "must" or "required," we would have hundreds of additional requirements, and that would not be helpful.

In the table below, "HB" refers to the GIPS Standards Handbook discussion of the noted provision, "GS" refers to Guidance Statement, and "Q&A" refers to the GIPS Standards Q&A Database. We identified requirements that affect disclosures included in GIPS Reports by including "Yes" in the GIPS Report column.

This document was created in June 2022 and reflects the additional requirements as of that date. Any additional requirements published after this date are not reflected in this document.

	Source	Requirement	GIPS Report
		[When a GIPS Report for a new LDPF has not yet been created because the LDPF has	
		not yet reached the initial annual period end] If the prospective investor for this	
		new LDPF is still a prospective investor after a GIPS Report with performance	
		through the initial annual period end is prepared, the firm must provide this GIPS	
1.	HB 1.A.13	Report to the prospective investor.	
		If a price-only benchmark is included in a GIPS Composite Report/GIPS Pooled Fund	
		Report as supplemental information, or is presented outside of a GIPS Composite	
		Report/GIPS Pooled Fund Report, it must be identified as a price-only benchmark,	
		and there must be sufficient disclosures so that a prospective client or prospective	
	HB 4.A.1.e	investor understands the difference between the return of a price-only benchmark	
2.	HB 6.A.1.e	and the return of a total return benchmark.	Yes
		Firms that manage sub-advised pooled funds that are marketed or distributed by	
		another firm as either an LDPF or a broad distribution pooled fund (BDPF) must	
		treat these portfolios as segregated accounts and not pooled funds for the purpose	
		of determining the composite assignment and on which list they must be	
3.	HB 1.A.24	maintained.	
4.	HB 2.A.1	Firms must not include overlay exposure in total firm assets.	
		[A] zero-transaction cost must not be used as a model transaction cost for other	
5.	HB 2.A.13	portfolios.	
		A firm may choose to present a proprietary measure of risk as an additional risk	
		measure, but it must describe the proprietary measure of risk that is presented and	
6.	HB 2.A.18	explain why it was selected.	Yes
		[When adjusting preliminary or estimated values to final values] If composite or	
		pooled fund valuations are revised retroactively, firms must consider the	
		requirements related to error correction and the firm's error correction policies.	
		Differences between final and estimated values are not considered to be errors but	
7.	HB 2.A.21	are treated similarly.	
		There are times when using the investment management fee that is appropriate to	
		prospective clients as a model fee results in net-of-fees returns that are not equal to	
8.	HB 2.A.31	or lower than those that would have been calculated using actual investment	

	Source	Requirement	GIPS Report
		management fees. If this is the case, to ensure this provision is met, the firm will	
		need to use a model investment management fee that is higher than the current fee	
		that is appropriate to prospective clients.	
		A firm may wish to include a second net-of-fees return in a GIPS Report that is	
		created using a model fee specific to a prospective client. If this second net-of-fees	
		return does not meet the requirement of being either equal to or lower than the	
		return that would have been calculated using actual investment management fees,	
		this second net-of-fees return must be labeled as supplemental information. In	
9.	HB 2.A.31	addition, there must be a disclosure explaining how the firm arrived at the return.	Yes
		Although a firm must disclose if model or actual fees are used to calculate pooled	
		fund net returns, when net returns are not straightforward and/or have multiple	
		assumptions, additional disclosure about pooled fund net return calculations may	
10.	HB 2.A.32	be needed to ensure that the principle of full disclosure is met.	Yes
		There are times when using the total pooled fund fee that is appropriate to	
		prospective investors as a model fee results in net returns that are not equal to or	
		lower than those that would have been calculated using actual total pooled fund	
		fees. If this is the case, to ensure this provision is met, the firm will need to use a	
		model total pooled fund fee that is higher than the current fee that is appropriate to	
11.	HB 2.A.33	prospective investors.	
		A firm may wish to include a second net return in a GIPS Report that is created using	
		a model fee specific to a prospective investor. If this second net return does not	
		meet the requirement of being either equal to or lower than the return that would	
		have been calculated using actual total pooled fund fees, the second net return	
		must be labeled as supplemental information. In addition, there must be a	
12.	HB 2.A.33	disclosure explaining how the firm arrived at the return.	Yes
		If the firm chooses to present segment composites and not present multi-strategy	
		or multi-asset-class composites to prospective clients of a multi-asset class or multi-	
		strategy composite, it must present all segment composites of the multi-strategy or	
13.	HB 3.A.2	multi-asset-class strategy.	
		If the firm suggests a change in strategy that would result in a client's portfolio	
		moving to a new composite, the firm must make every reasonable effort to provide	
14.	HB 3.A.10	the client with a GIPS Composite Report for the new composite.	

	Source	Requirement	GIPS Report
		A firm may wish to present performance to wrap fee prospective clients for a	
		specific strategy for which the firm does not yet manage wrap fee portfolios. In such	
		a case, the firm must not present the GIPS Composite Report created for non-wrap	
		fee clients. Instead, the firm must calculate a wrap fee performance history for that	
		specific strategy by using that strategy's gross-of-fees non-wrap fee composite	
		history reduced by the highest total wrap fee charged to the client (end user) by the	
15.	HB 3.A.14	wrap fee sponsor for the strategy (product).	
		If a firm includes a carve-out that is managed with its own cash balance in a	
		composite, all similar carve-outs that have been created and have their own cash	
16.	HB 3.A.15	balance must also be included in that composite.	
		[When calculating carve-out returns] In all cases, the cash return must be the	
17.	HB 3.A.15	portfolio's actual cash return. A cash return proxy must not be used.	
	HB 4.A.4	If the firm designates benchmarks as primary and secondary benchmarks, it must	
	HB 5.A.6	disclose when these designations change (e.g., if a primary benchmark becomes a	
	HB 6.A.4	secondary benchmark), because such a change in designation is considered a	
18.	HB 7.A.6	benchmark change.	Yes
	HB 4.A.4		
	HB 5.A.6	In all instances, if multiple benchmarks are presented in a GIPS Composite Report	
	HB 6.A.4	and one or more of the benchmarks is removed from the GIPS Composite Report,	
19.	HB 7.A.6	the firm must disclose this fact.	Yes
	HB 4.A.4	Additional benchmarks beyond appropriate benchmarks may be presented in a GIPS	
	HB 5.A.6	Composite Report as supplemental information. There must be sufficient disclosure	
	HB 6.A.4	so that a prospective client or prospective investor understands the nature of the	
20.	HB 7.A.6	benchmark and why it is being presented.	Yes
	HB 4.A.18		
	HB 5.A.15		
	HB 6.A.11	Supplemental Information must not be shown with greater prominence than the	
21.	HB 7.A.13	required composite/pooled fund information.	Yes
	HB 4.C.11		
	HB 5.C.10		
	HB 6.A.5	Pooled fund expense ratios that are calculated for periods of less than one year	
22.	HB 7.A.7	must be annualized.	Yes

	Source	Requirement	GIPS Report
		If non-compliant performance is included in a GIPS Composite Report after the	
	HB 4.C.20	minimum effective date, it must be labeled as supplemental information and must	
23.	HB 6.C.17	not be linked to the GIPS-compliant performance.	Yes
		If non-compliant performance is included in a GIPS Composite Report/GIPS Pooled	
	HB 5.C.19	Fund Report after the minimum effective date, it must be labeled as supplemental	
24.	HB 7.C.17	information.	Yes
		[Prospective benchmark change that combines two different benchmarks] Firms	
		must also carefully identify the benchmark as a custom benchmark in the GIPS	
		Composite Report/GIPS Pooled Fund Report and must make clear that the	
		benchmark returns are not those of the current benchmark for all periods. It would	
		not be appropriate to label the benchmark returns with the name of the current	
		benchmark. The firm must provide information, including labeling of the	
	HB 4.C.32	benchmark, that is sufficient to allow a prospective client/prospective investor to	
25.	HB 6.C.27	distinguish the prior benchmark returns from the current benchmark returns.	Yes
	HB 4.C.33	A firm must not present net-of-fees benchmark returns compared with only gross-	
26.	HB 6.C.28	of-fees composite returns/pooled fund gross returns.	Yes
	HB 5.C.32	A firm may use a net-of-fees PME benchmark only when composite net-of-fees	
27.	HB 7.C.28	returns/pooled fund net returns are presented.	Yes
		[When the benchmark is an ETF] As part of the benchmark description for an ETF,	
		the firm must disclose the following items:	
		• if ETF returns are gross or net of fees and other costs, including transaction costs;	
		• the ETF expense ratio, if ETF net returns are presented;	
		• if ETF returns are based on market prices or net asset values (NAVs);	
	HB 4.C.33	• the timing of the market close used to determine the ETF's valuations; and	
28.	HB 6.C.28	• if ETF returns are gross or net of withholding taxes, if this information is available.	Yes
		For composites/pooled funds that have a subscription line of credit (LOC), and the	
		firm is required to present composite/pooled fund returns both with and without	
	HB 5.A.1.d	the subscription line of credit (see Provision 5.A.2), the firm must present	
29.	HB 7.A.1.d	benchmark returns for the same periods as both composite/pooled fund returns.	Yes
		If returns both with and without the subscription LOC are required to be presented	
		in a GIPS Composite Report, these returns must be comparable. If the firm presents	
	HB 5.A.2	gross-of-fees returns only, gross-of-fees returns with and without the subscription	
30.	HB 7.A.2	LOC must be presented. If the firm presents net-of-fees returns only, net-of-fees	Yes

	Source	Requirement	GIPS Report
		returns with and without the subscription LOC must be presented. If the firm	
		presents both gross-of-fees and net-of-fees returns, the firm must present gross-of-	
		fees returns with and without the subscription LOC and net-of-fees returns with and	
		without the subscription LOC.	
		If returns both with and without the subscription LOC are required to be presented	
		in a GIPS Pooled Fund Report, these returns must be comparable. If the firm	
		presents gross returns only, gross returns with and without the subscription LOC	
		must be presented. If the firm presents net returns only, net returns with and	
		without the subscription LOC must be presented. If the firm presents both gross and	
		net returns, the firm must present gross returns with and without the subscription	
		LOC as well as net returns with and without the subscription LOC.	
		Given the unique nature of a PME, if the market index used to calculate the PME is	
	HB 5.C.5	not readily recognized, the firm must also disclose the description of this	
31.	HB 7.C.5	benchmark.	Yes
		When providing a GIPS Composite Report to a prospective investor for any pooled	
		fund included in that composite, a firm must include the explicit name of the pooled	
32.	Q&A - GIPS Reports	fund when disclosing the fee schedule and expense ratio.	Yes
		If a firm has based their valuation on a pending sale, repossession, or lease	
		termination, the firm must maintain documentation showing that the process was	
		started by the date the external valuation would have been required.	
		If the sale of a property, loan default, or lease termination action is subsequently	
		cancelled and the firm used the original transaction documentation to satisfy the	
	Q&A - Real Estate,	external valuation requirement, the firm must obtain an external valuation of the	
33.	Valuation	property within six months of the transaction cancellation date.	
		The firm cannot exclude the impact of the securities lending collateral shortfall if it	
		had previously determined that it would include the income associated with	
	Q&A - Securities	securities lending. Firms must not exclude the portfolio from the respective	
34.	Lending	composite due to the loss on securities lending collateral.	
		If a hedged or partially hedged benchmark is used, the hedging criteria for the	
35.	Benchmark GS	benchmark is expected to be disclosed as a part of the benchmark description.	Yes

	Source	Requirement	GIPS Report
		In a highly customized LDI benchmark, there may be instances in which the	
		portfolio-weighted custom benchmark consists of a very large number of underlying	
		benchmarks. In these cases, some of the weightings of the component parts may be	
		so small, and the list of benchmarks so long, that listing all of them would make the	
		disclosures difficult to read. In these instances, firms must disclose the major	
		components and weightings of the customized benchmark and must offer to make	
36.	Benchmark GS	the smaller, undisclosed components available upon request	Yes
		The firm must disclose it if chooses to arithmetically link overlay strategy portfolio	
37.	Overlay GS	or composite returns.	Yes
		If a firm chooses to apply the Guidance Statement on Overlay Strategies	
		retroactively, it must disclose if any restatement of the historical track record was	
38.	Overlay GS	necessary as a result of the retroactive application.	Yes
	HB 4.A.12		
	HB 5.A.13	If supplemental information is not presented in the same currency as the required	
	HB 6.A.10	and recommended information in the GIPS Composite Report/GIPS Pooled Fund	
39.	HB 7.A.12	Report, this fact must be disclosed.	Yes